

From: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:53 AM
To: Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org>; Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com>
Cc: SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Exline, Susan (CPC) <susan.exline@sfgov.org>; ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Balboa Reservoir MOU follow up

Hello Christine,

Thanks for your patience while we gathered information per your questions. Our colleagues on the Environmental Planning team have provided references to the relevant documents that contain the data that you are looking for:

Existing pedestrian counts - Tables 3.B-3 and 3.B-4 in the Draft EIR (starts on page 3.B-12 and the Cloud Circle intersections are identified as numbers 13 and 14)

Balboa Reservoir Study area (which included the City College Parking Lot) - please see Figure 3.B.-1 on Draft EIR page 3.B-7.

Construction information - please see the discussion beginning on page 3.B-60 of the Draft EIR.

Thanks very much, Leigh

Leigh Lutenski
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
(415) 554-6679
leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org

From: Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 8:34 PM
To: Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com>
Cc: SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>; Exline, Susan (CPC) <susan.exline@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Balboa Reservoir MOU follow up

Hi, Christine!

I've CC'd Leigh from OEWD and Sue from Planning to answer your questions, please give them some time as they prepare to be at the Planning Commission. Leigh and Sue, Christine contacted us with some questions re: Balboa Reservoir. Thank you.

-Suha

Sent from my iPhone

On May 27, 2020, at 5:53 PM, Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Suha,

I'm still hopeful that you will reply to my email in light of your offer of a phone conversation. I have a few more questions to add.

I see now that the position of North St. was considered in the SEIR under something called Variant 4.

Could you please forward a link to the pedestrian counts for the crossings at Cloud Circle used in evaluation of Variant 4?

Also, the motorcycle parking usage Data on the East side of Frida Kahlo. Especially the usage during peak travel times anticipated by the new development residents. Please forward that as well.

Also, pedestrian circulation studies through the City College parking lot?

The RTC document shows that Variant 4 might be considered as mitigation for the complaints received during the EIR about construction traffic.

How early in the construction schedule would this occur?

Would a signal be installed during the construction phase?

The new road could be situated 400' from the MUB and presumably closer to the parking entrance currently used by City College to that lot, which includes variable traffic there at the MUB. Could you please point me to the documents outlining the interface between the two, especially during the construction of the road change?

Also how would this signal coordinate with the existing light near City College MUB?

Thank you,
Chris Hanson

On Wednesday, May 27, 2020, Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Suha,
I hope you have had time to review my response. I look forward to your response!
Christine Hanson

On Wednesday, May 27, 2020, Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Suha,

Thank you for your offer to speak on the phone, unfortunately I am not able to speak on the phone today but I am able to grab 2 minutes here and there to add to an email conversation, I hope we can do that.

Though I have many concerns, my biggest concern is what appears to be a void in the analysis of the SEIR regarding a new road being constructed through the East portion of the reservoir on the City College and a new intersection with a left turn into the reservoir appearing on Frida Kahlo in a spot where large groups of students navigate the area at the same time before and after class.

This new road location, and essentially a new city road we're not studied in the SEIR but there are at least 11 detailed diagrams in the project Design Guidelines submitted in the SUD agenda item.

While it is too late to make public comment on the SEIR, it seems this is a very large change element to simply omit from the CEQA process and is what appears to be happening here.

Chris Hanson

On Wednesday, May 27, 2020, Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi, Ms. Hanson:

Thanks for reaching out, I just had a check-in with Planning yesterday since, as you mentioned, this will be at the Planning Commission tomorrow and although not in District 11, it's in District 7, we do know that some of our constituents are following this project too. Happy to chat, and, yes, please feel free to email me your list of concerns prior to chatting. **My direct line is 415-554-7896 and my cell is 415-918-9240. Am available from 12:30PM-1PM, 2PM-3PM, 4:30PM-4:45PM and after 6:30PM.** Thanks, in advance, for your time.

-Suha

From: SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 11:44 AM

To: Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Balboa Reservoir MOU follow up

Hello Christine,

Sorry for the late response as I was out of the office last week due to a medical issue. Please provide us with your address for our records. I have looped in Suhagey Sandoval who handles building issues for the Supervisor concerning the Balboa reservoir project. Suhagey will be your contact moving forward to assist you with your concerns.

Best Regards,

Sam

From: Christine Hanson <chrissibhanson@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 12:18 PM

To: Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Balboa Reservoir MOU follow up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Safai,

With the Balboa Reservoir packet proceeding to SF Planning on May 28th many commenters at last Monday's CAC meeting were concerned about a number of important details regarding City College that were supposed to be addressed in an MOU but have not been addressed.

The most recent communication that I can find on the status of the MOU is a letter from OEWD to former Chancellor Rocha. That is attached to this email.

Your name is copied at that bottom of the letter and I am hoping you might have a few minutes to talk about the issues that remain outstanding as the Developer Agreement was apparently signed without the MOU.

Might you have a few minutes and can I send you a list of concerns prior to chatting?

Thank you,
Christine Hanson...Cotter St.